NATO's Future Seminar 2019

Berlin | 9-12 November 2019





Co-sponsored by NATO PDD

PANEL 1: Boon & Bane of **Social Media** in a Changing Communication Environment - How Should NATO (Re)Act?

Whether a Tweet by Trump or a NATO Insta Story – political opinions and strategic information are available as easily and quickly as never before in the history of mankind. The boundaries between propaganda, lies and harsh reality are all too blurred. The exact assessment of what information is trustworthy in the context of security policy is of high relevance. Aggressive behavior or tactical maneuver, war or peace? These questions are answered with the right information. How this information is produced, used and received by the population is therefore vital to NATO's survival.





PANEL 1: Boon & Bane of **Social Media** in a Changing Communication Environment - How Should NATO (Re)Act?







Leaders



Leonhard Simon, Germany
Project Manager,
Munich Security Conference



Alexander Schröder, Germany Staff Officer, Editor of the German Armed Forces







Megan Burnham, USA Graduate Student of Russian and Eastern European Studies, Indiana University, Bloomington



Radu-Ion Gheorghe, Romania Communication & Research Intern, Finabel European Army Interoperability Centre, Brussels



Tomas Kazulenas, Lithuania Executive Director, Institute of Democratic Politics, Vilnius



Virág Kemecsei, Hungary M.Sc. Conflict Resolution and Governance Graduate







Doc. Dr. Aleksandar Nacev, North Macedonia Dean, Faculty of Detectives and Criminalistics, European University, Skopje



Albert Nerzetyan, Armenia
Senior Expert for Cybersecurity
Policy, Office of the Security Council,
Armenia



Agniete Pocyte, Lithuania Graduate Student of Security, Intelligence and Strategic Studies

Dr. Nicolae Tîbrigan,
Romania
Researcher, Institute of Political
Sciences and International
Relations, Romanian Academy,
Bucharest







Miranda Tkabladze, Georgia Operations Manager, International Foundation for Electoral Systems, Tbilisi





With respect to **Social Media**, we recommend that NATO ...

- 1. ... **EMPLOYS PROACTIVE STORYTELLING** methods **IN MULTIPLE LANGUAGES** to spread positive messages and personal narratives related to NATO's mission, especially stories told from the perspectives of women and minorities.
- 2. ... **DEVELOPS A PLATFORM OF CONSTANT DIALOGUE** with social media companies, tech companies and other stakeholders regarding early warning systems for emerging disinformation techniques such as Deep Fakes.
- 3. ... **ENCOURAGES** all member states **TO DEVELOP COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS** that teach civilians, especially vulnerable populations, media literacy.
- 4. ... **REDUCES INSIDER THREATS** among NATO personnel and relatives by raising awareness of the risks of improper social media use.
- 5. ... DEVELOPS CAPABILITIES FOR real-time ANALYSIS OF, and responses to, DISINFORMATION THREATS.





PANEL 2: The Concept of a **European Army** and NATO - Useful Addition or Undermining Contradiction?

The President of France calls for combined European Military Forces, a national minister of defense becomes President of the European Commission and the American President demands an increase of investments in defense from the European states. On a first glance all signs indicate the path towards a European Army. However, reality also looks like this: From a defense policy oriented perspective, Europe seems like a patchwork – national reservations impede even the smallest steps towards military integration and defense budgets are only slowly increased towards the set goals.





PANEL 2: The Concept of a **European Army** and NATO - Useful Addition or Undermining Contradiction?







Leaders

PANEL 2: European Army and NATO



Pieter Brandt, Germany
Captain,
German Air Force



Maximilian Kretschmann, Germany
Student of Law,
Munich University





PANEL 2: European Army and NATO



Giovanni Baggio, Italy Graduate Student of International Security Studies, University of Trento / Sant'Anna School, Pisa



Peer Klaus Braak, Germany Undergraduate Student of Governance and Public Policy, University of Passau



Andrew Carroll, USA U.S. Air Force Officer; Graduate Student, Columbia University, New York



Friederike Delille, Germany Intern, German Marshall Fund of the United States, Berlin





PANEL 2: European Army and NATO



Susanne Karbe, Germany
PhD Student of Economics, Durham
University



Camilla Ravagnan, Italy Graduate Student of International Affairs, Hertie School, Berlin



Alexandra Ostein, Russia External Political and Security Analyst, IHS Markit





With respect to the concept of a European army, we recommend that NATO ...

- 1. ... CREATES A COMMON WORKING STRUCTURE with European defence programs.
- 2. ... ALIGNS THE NDPP AND CARD. This will harmonize:
 - a) procurement standards
 - b) operational planning
- 3. ... ENSURES ALL MEMBERS have ACCESS TO EUROPEAN DEFENSE PROCUREMENT programs.
- 4. ... UTILIZES THE BERLIN+ AND FNC to coordinate operations.
- 5. ... CONSIDERS GRANTING THE EU OBSERVER STATUS in the NAC.





PANEL 3: Troubled Waters? NATO's Relationship with **North Africa and the Mediterranean**

NATO's Southern flank lies on the natural border of the Mediterranean Sea and poses a set of unique challenges to the alliance. The region calls for a policy response framework that reflects the heterogeneity and instability of its landscape. Libya and Syria are the examples which have defined the insecurity of the region, yet few solutions have been proposed so far. NATO's interventions in both countries, with an aim to protect civilians from the Gaddafi regime on the one hand and to contribute to the international coalition fighting ISIS on the other, have created a responsibility on NATO's part for the future of these societies. Indeed, it is in the interest of NATO member states to build on existing foundations and revisit the questions of priorities in this region. The migration flows triggered on land and sea by the failed states are a persistent challenge with direct domestic political consequences for European members of the alliance. The current situation poses several questions about NATO's ability to contribute to peace-building efforts.





PANEL 3: Troubled Waters? NATO's Relationship with **North Africa and the Mediterranean**







Leaders

PANEL 3: North Africa, the Mediterranean and NATO



Imre Bartal, Hungary
Graduate Student of European Studies,
European University Viadrina,
Frankfurt (Oder)



Patrick Senft, Germany Undergraduate Student of Security Studies, The Hague University





PANEL 3: North Africa, the Mediterranean and NATO



Michelle Busch, Germany
PhD Student of Arctic Security and
Maritime Conflicts



Marcel Dirsus, Germany
Non-Resident Fellow, Institute for
Security Policy, Kiel University,
Partner Occam Strategies



Giordani Dimitrov, Bulgaria Undergraduate Student of International Relations, Sofia University



Gonçalo Ferreira, Portugal Undergraduate Student of International Relations, Lusíada's University, Porto





PANEL 3: North Africa, the Mediterranean and NATO



Alexander Köhler, Germany Graduate Student of International Affairs, Hertie School, Berlin



Catarina Neves, Portugal Graduate Student of European Studies, Katholieke Universiteit, Leuven



Marlies Murray, Germany/USA Undergraduate Student of Political Science, Free University, Berlin



Jessica Phung, France Undergraduate Student of International Relations, University of Washington, Seattle





With respect to North Africa and the Mediterranean, we recommend that NATO ...

- 1. ... finds a common ground on the most burning issues in the region and **DEVELOPS A JOINT VISION**, which is sorely lacking, as a basis **FOR FUTURE INTERACTION** with the countries in North Africa and Middle East **TO TACKLE COMMON SECURITY CHALLENGES**.
- 2. ... ENHANCES COOPERATION with countries WITH STABLE DEMOCRATIC GOVERNANCE in the region, specifically Tunisia. Concretely, NATO should ALLOCATE A GREATER SHARE OF RESOURCES available to the Mediterranean Dialogue to Tunisia in order to achieve this goal.
- 3. ... **ESTABLISHES A TRUST MECHANISM** to support civil emergency planning in any country of the region, in order to, for example, prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and land mines.



